arXiv:0802.0935v1 [hep-th] 7 Feb 2008

Note About String with Euclidean World-Sheet in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

---

by J. Klusoň

Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics
Faculty of Science, Masaryk University
Kotlářská 2, 611 37, Brno
Czech Republic
E-mail: `klu@physics.muni.cz`

Abstract: This note is devoted to the study of the classical solutions on the bosonic string with euclidean world-sheet in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. We generalize solutions presented in arXiv:0707.4254 [hep-th] to the case where we include the dynamics of the string on S^5 as well.

Keywords: AdS/CFT correspondence.

Contents

1. Introduction **1**

2. Euclidean String on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ **2**

3. Conclusion **12**

1. Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence [1, 2, 3] [1] shows deep relations between the $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory and the string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ where the classical string solutions play an important role [7], for review and extensive list of references, see [8, 9]. The energies of classical strings have been shown to match with the anomalous dimensions of the gauge invariant operators while an open string that ends on a curve at the boundary of AdS_5 has been analyzed to study the strong coupling behavior of the Wilson loop in the gauge theory [10, 11, 12].

Recently Alday and Maldacena in remarkable paper [13] [2] computed the planar 4-gluon scattering amplitude at strong coupling in the $N = 4$ SYM theory using AdS/CFT correspondence. The 4-gluon scattering amplitude was evaluated as the string theory computation of the 4-cusp Wilson loop composed of 4 light-like segments in the T-dual coordinates where a certain open string solution in AdS_5 space is found to minimize the area of the string surface whose boundary conditions are determined by the massless gluon momenta and a dimensional regulariztion is used to regularize the IR divergence.

As was shown in [32] these results are closely related to the remarkable observations in perturbative (planar $N = 4$) gauge theory: The scaling function $f(\lambda)$ can be either found as a coefficient in the anomalous dimension of gauge invariant large spin twist two operator or as a cusp anomaly of a light-like Wilson line [37, 38]. Then it was shown in [32] that this fact has nice explanation in dual perturbative $AdS_5 \times S^5$ where the anomalous dimension of minimal twist operator is represented either by the energy of a *closed* string with large spin $S \gg 1$ in AdS_5 [7] or it follows the *open* string picture, i.e. from the area of a surface ending on a cusp formed by two light-like Wilson lines on the boundary of AdS_5 [39]. Then it was argued that these two approaches are (under specific scaling limits) close related when they become equivalent upon certain analytic continuation that is needed to convert the Minkowski world-sheet coordinates in the closed string case into the euclidean one in the open string Wilson loop case and AdS_5, i.e. conformal $SO(2,4)$ transformation. Then it was shown in [32] that the world-sheet surface studied in [13] can be related (before an IR regularization) to the cusp Wilson loop surface found in [39] using $SO(2,4)$ isometry of AdS_5.

---

[1] For review, see [4, 5, 6, 5, 6].

[2] For some recent papers, see [15, 16, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36].

It is remarkable that string with euclidean world-sheet that is embedded in ordinary Minkowski space-time plays such a cruical role in AdS/CFT correspondence. In fact, it is well known that string theories naturally contain in their spectra extended objects with euclidean world-sheet signature (S-branes, S-strings [43, 44, 45]) even if their precise definition is unclear. On the other hand we mean that it is certainly important to study properties of these objects and try to identify their possible applications. In fact the goal of this paper is to investigate the dynamics of the bosonic string with the euclidean world-sheet metric in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and try to see how it is possible to extend the classical solution found in [32] to more general case. Recall that the ansatz given in [32] describes light-like Wilson line that ends on the boundary of AdS_5. Our goal is to generalize this solution to the case when we allow non-trivial configuration of the string on S^5. We find that in case of light-like Wilson line solution the dynamics of the string on AdS_5 decouples from the dynamics on S^5 as a consequence of the fact that AdS_5 part of the Virasoro constraints vanishes separately. On the other hand it is important to stress that solution that describes dynamics of the string on AdS_5 corresponds to *open string* with infinite extend. Then in order to derive finite value of the string action that is evaluated on the classical solution we have to impose cut-off on the time and space extend of the world-sheet theory. It is clear that the same cut-off has to be performed for S^5 part of the action as well. Imposing this cut-off we can explicitly evaluate the action on given solutions that presumably give some interesting phenomena in dual CFT.

As the second example we study another class of the euclidean solution that was given in [32] and in [14]. This solution arises from euclidean continuation of the world-sheet time coordinate from the homogeneous solutions [41, 42]. We find that for this solution Virasoro constraints corresponding dynamics on AdS_5 are non-zero and consequently the solution on AdS_5 is related to the solution on S^5. This result suggests that an equivalence between euclidean continuation of the homogeneous solutions given in [41, 42] and light-like Wilson loop solution-where dynamics on AdS_5 decouples from dynamics on S^5-does not generally hold when we include non-trivial dynamics on S^5.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In the next section (2) we introduce the notation and we solve the equations of motion for the string with euclidean world-sheet theory that moves on S^5. We analyze two particular solutions, the first one corresponding to the homogeneous motion and the second one that is analogue of the magnon solution given in [40]. Finally in conclusion (3) we outline our results and suggest possible extensions of this work.

2. Euclidean String on $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Our goal is to study exact solutions of the closed string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ where the fundamental string has world-sheet theory with the euclidean metric signature while the target space-time has Minkowski signature. Before we start this analysis we review notations for coordinates in AdS_5 [32]. In global coordinates $(\rho, t, \phi, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ the line element of AdS_5 takes the form

$$ds^2 = R^2(d\rho^2 - \cosh^2\rho dt^2 + \sinh^2\rho(d\phi^2 + \cos^2\phi_1 d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2\phi d\theta_2^2)) \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where R is radius of AdS_5 and S^5. It is convenient to introduce the embedding coordinates $X^M, \ M = (0, \dots, 5)$ on which $SO(4,2)$ is acting linearly. In these coordinates the line element takes the form

$$ds^2 = dX^M \eta_{MN} dX^N \ , \quad \eta_{MN} = (-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1) \ . \tag{2.2}$$

Note that the global coordinates are related to the embedding coordinates as

$$X^0 + iX^5 = R\cosh\rho e^{it} \ , \quad X^1 + iX^2 = R\sinh\rho\cos\phi e^{i\theta_1} \ , \quad X^3 + iX^4 = R\sinh\rho\sin\phi e^{i\theta_2} \ . \tag{2.3}$$

We can also introduce Poincare coordinates where the boundary of AdS_5 is at $z = 0$

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2}(dx^m dx_m + dz^2) \ , \quad x^m x_m = -x_0^2 + x_i^2 \ , \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \ , \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$X^0 = \frac{x_0}{z} \ , \quad X^i = \frac{x_i}{z} \ , \quad X^4 = \frac{1}{2z}(-1 + z^2 + x^m x_m) \ , \quad X^5 = \frac{1}{2z}(1 + z^2 + x^m x_m) \ . \tag{2.5}$$

Finally, we also use the embedding coordinates Y^P , $P = 0, \dots, 5$ for the embedding coordinates of S^5 with euclidean metric δ_{PQ}. Then the dynamics of bosonic string on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is governed by the action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S^{AdS_5} + S^{S_5} \ , \\ S^{AdS_5} &= \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\int d\sigma d\tau \sqrt{\gamma}(\gamma^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha X^M \partial_\beta X^N \eta_{MN} + \Lambda(X^M \eta_{MN} X^N + R^2)) \ , \\ S^{S_5} &= \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\int d\tau d\sigma \sqrt{\gamma}(\gamma^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha Y^P \partial_\beta Y^Q \delta_{PQ} + \tilde{\Lambda}(Y^P \delta_{PQ} Y^Q - R^2)) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ is world-sheet metric with euclidean signature so that in the conformal gauge the line element takes the form $ds^2 = d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2$.

Finally, $\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}$ given in (2.6) are Lagrange multipliers that impose conditions $X^M \eta_{MN} X^N = -R^2$, $Y^P \delta_{PQ} Y^Q = R^2$. Using (2.6) it is easy to determine corresponding equations of motion. The variation of S^{AdS_5} with respect to X^M and with respect to Λ gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\alpha(\sqrt{\gamma}\gamma^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta X^M) - \Lambda X^M = 0 \ , \\ &X^M X_M = -R^2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

If we multiply the first equation in (2.7) with X_M we obtain

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{R^2}\partial^a X^M \partial_a X_M \ , \tag{2.8}$$

where we also used the conformal gauge $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. In the same way the variation of S^{S_5} with respect to Y^P and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_\alpha[\delta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta Y^P] - \tilde{\Lambda} Y^P = 0 \ , \\
&Y^P \delta_{PQ} Y^Q = R^2 \ , \quad \tilde{\Lambda} = -\frac{1}{R^2}\partial_\alpha Y^P \delta_{PQ} \partial_\beta Y^Q \delta^{\alpha\beta} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Following [32] we consider an ansatz that for $z = 0$ ends on two light-like lines at boundary:

$$z = \sqrt{2}u \ , \quad u = e^{\alpha\tau - \beta\sigma} \ , \quad \chi = \alpha\sigma + \beta\tau \ , \tag{2.10}$$

where α, β are real parameters. If we write the line element in Poincare coordinates as

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2}(dz^2 - du^2 + u^2 d\chi^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2) \ , \quad x_0 = u\cosh\chi \ , \quad x_1 = u \sinh\chi \tag{2.11}$$

then the ansatz (2.10) takes the form

$$x_0 = e^{\alpha\tau - \beta\sigma}\cosh(\alpha\sigma + \beta\tau) \ , \quad x_1 = e^{\alpha\tau-\beta\sigma}\sinh(\alpha\sigma + \beta\tau) \ , \quad x_2 = x_3 = 0 \ . \tag{2.12}$$

Finally, in the embedding coordinates the ansatz (2.10) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
X^0 &= \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\cosh(\alpha\sigma + \beta\tau) \ , \quad X^5 = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\cosh(\alpha\tau - \beta\sigma) \ , \\
X^1 &= \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\sinh(\alpha\sigma + \beta\tau) \ , \quad X^4 = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\sinh(\alpha\tau - \beta\sigma) \ , \quad X^2 = X^3 = 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

With analogy with (2.13) we propose following ansatz for the motion of string on S^5

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^1 &= \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\cos(\gamma\tau + \delta\sigma) \ , \quad Y^2 = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\sin(\gamma\tau + \delta\sigma) \ , \\
Y^3 &= \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\cos(\gamma\sigma - \delta\tau) \ , \quad Y^4 = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\sin(\gamma\sigma - \delta\tau) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where γ, δ are constants. Now it is easy to see that for the ansatz (2.13) Λ takes the form

$$\Lambda = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \tag{2.15}$$

and consequently the ansatz (2.13) solves the equation of motion (2.7). In the same way (2.14) gives

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = -(\gamma^2 + \delta^2) \tag{2.16}$$

and again it is easy to see that the equation of motion (2.9) are satisfied as well.

As the next step we impose Virasoro constraints. Explicitly, the variation of the action (2.6) with respect to world-sheet metric $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ implies the constraints

$$T_{\alpha\beta}=\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\gamma^{\alpha\beta}}=T^{AdS_5}_{\alpha\beta}+T^{S_5}_{\alpha\beta}=0\ , \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{AdS_5}_{\tau\tau} &= -T^{AdS_5}_{\sigma\sigma}=\frac{1}{2\alpha'}(\partial_\tau X^M\partial_\tau X_M-\partial_\sigma X^M\partial_\sigma X_M)\ ,\\
T^{AdS_5}_{\tau\sigma} &= \frac{1}{\alpha'}\partial_\tau X^M\partial_\sigma X_M\ ,\\
T^{S_5}_{\tau\tau} &= -T^{S_5}_{\sigma\sigma}=\frac{1}{2\alpha'}(\partial_\tau Y^P\partial_\tau Y_P-\partial_\sigma Y^P\partial_\sigma Y_P)\ ,\\
T^{S_5}_{\tau\sigma} &= \frac{1}{\alpha'}\partial_\tau Y^P\partial_\sigma Y_P\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where we considered the metric in the form $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}=\mathrm{diag}(1,1)$ and in the final step we used the equation of motion for Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$. Now for the ansatz (2.13) we obtain

$$T^{AdS_5}_{\tau\tau}=0\ ,\quad T^{AdS_5}_{\tau\sigma}=0\ . \tag{2.19}$$

On the other hand the S^5 part of the Virasoro constraints imply

$$T^{S_5}_{\tau\tau}=\frac{1}{4\alpha'}(\gamma^2-\delta^2)=0\ ,\quad T^{S_5}_{\tau\sigma}=0\ . \tag{2.20}$$

The first constraint implies that $\gamma^2=\delta^2$ while the second one is automatically satisfied. However the analysis performed above suggests that the dynamics on AdS_5 decouples from the dynamics on S^5 for ansatz (2.13). On the other hand when we evaluate action on given solutions we have to take integration cut-off into account. In fact note that the AdS_5 part of the action is equal to

$$S^{AdS_5}=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}(\alpha^2+\beta^2)\int d\tau d\sigma\ , \tag{2.21}$$

where $\sqrt{\lambda}=\frac{R^2}{\alpha'}$. As in [32] we introduce following cut-off prescription

$$\ln l<\alpha\tau-\beta\sigma<\ln L\ ,\quad -\frac{\Gamma}{2}<\alpha\sigma+\beta\tau<\frac{\Gamma}{2}\ . \tag{2.22}$$

As the next step we introduce the coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
m &= \alpha\tau-\beta\sigma\ ,\quad n=\alpha\sigma+\beta\tau\ ,\\
\tau &= \frac{\alpha m+\beta n}{\alpha^2+\beta^2}\ ,\quad \sigma=\frac{n\alpha-\beta m}{\alpha^2+\beta^2}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

where the Jacobian of the transformation from (τ, σ) to (m, n) is equal to $J = \frac{1}{\alpha^2+\beta^2}$. Then we can easily evaluate (2.21) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S^{AdS_5} &= = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}(\alpha^2+\beta^2)\int d\tau d\sigma = \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}(\alpha^2+\beta^2)\int_{\ln l}^{\ln L} dm \int_{-\frac{\Gamma}{2}}^{\frac{\Gamma}{2}} dn J = \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}\Gamma \ln\frac{L}{l} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

We see that the value of the action does not depend on α, β. On the other hand when we evaluate S^{S_5} for the ansatz (2.14) we obtain

$$S^{S_5} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}\int d\tau d\sigma(\gamma^2+\delta^2) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}\frac{\gamma^2+\delta^2}{\alpha^2+\beta^2}\Gamma\ln\frac{L}{l} \ . \tag{2.25}$$

However it would be more natural to express given action in terms of conserved charges. In fact, it is easy to see that the action S^{S_5} is manifestly invariant under rotation

$$Y'^M = \Omega^M_N Y^N \approx Y^M + \omega^M_N Y^N \ , \quad \omega^M_N = -\omega^N_M \ll 1 \tag{2.26}$$

that implies an existence of following conserved charges

$$J^{MN} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\int d\sigma(Y^M\partial_\tau Y^N - Y^N\partial_\tau Y^M) \ . \tag{2.27}$$

Let us now define

$$J_1 = J^{12} \ , \quad J_2 = J^{34} \ , \quad J_3 = J^{56} \ . \tag{2.28}$$

Then for (2.14) we obtain

$$J_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8\pi}\gamma\int d\sigma \ , \quad J_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8\pi}\gamma\int d\sigma \ . \tag{2.29}$$

It turns out, however that for integration domain defined in (2.22) these charges explicitly depend on time. For that reason we restrict ourselves to the case when $\beta = 0$. Then $-\frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha} < \sigma < \frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha}$ and we obtain

$$J_1 = -J_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8\pi}\gamma\int_{-\frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha}}^{\frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha}} d\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8\pi}\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\Gamma \tag{2.30}$$

and hence we can write

$$S^{S_5} = 32\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}J_1^2\frac{1}{\Gamma}\ln\frac{L}{l} = 16\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\frac{1}{\Gamma}\ln\frac{L}{l}(J_1^2+J_2^2) \ . \tag{2.31}$$

In summary we obtain that the action evaluated on the solution is equal to

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}\ln\frac{L}{l}\Gamma + 16\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\frac{1}{\Gamma}\ln\frac{L}{l}(J_1^2 + J_2^2) \ . \tag{2.32}$$

To conclude, we found generalization of the solution [32] where we included non-trivial dynamics on S^5. We also shown that this solution is valid for any values of parameters α, β and that Virasoro constraints do not imply any relation between the motion on AdS_5 and S^5. On the other hand we have argued that when we wanted to evaluate world-sheet action on these solutions we had to impose the same integration cut-off in both parts of the action.

Let us now consider ansatz that is an analogue of the magnon-like solution [40]. To do this we restrict ourselves to the motion of the string on S^2. Then it is convenient to use the parametrization

$$Y^1 = R\sin\theta\cos\phi \ , \quad Y^2 = R\sin\theta\sin\phi \ , \quad Y^3 = R\cos\theta \tag{2.33}$$

so that the line element on two sphere S^2 takes the form

$$ds^2 = R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \ . \tag{2.34}$$

Then the action that determines motion on S^2 takes the form

$$S^{S_2} = \frac{R^2}{4\pi\alpha'}\int d\tau d\sigma[\delta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\theta\partial_\beta\theta + \sin^2\theta\delta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi] \ . \tag{2.35}$$

Further we presume that the motion on AdS_5 is determined by the ansatz (2.13) while for the motion on S^2 we propose an ansatz

$$\theta = \theta(y) \ , \quad \phi = \omega\tau + \tilde{\phi}(y) \ , \tag{2.36}$$

where

$$y = \gamma\tau + \delta\sigma \ . \tag{2.37}$$

Using the simple form of the action (2.35) it is easy to determine corresponding equations of motion for ϕ

$$[\sin^2\theta((\gamma^2+\delta^2)\tilde{\phi}' + \omega\gamma)]' = 0 \tag{2.38}$$

while the equation of motion for θ takes the form

$$(\gamma^2+\delta^2)\theta'' - \sin\theta\cos\theta((\omega+\gamma\tilde{\phi}')^2 + \delta^2\tilde{\phi}'^2) = 0 \ , \tag{2.39}$$

where $(\ldots)' \equiv \frac{d(\ldots)}{dy}$. Note that (2.38) implies

$$\tilde{\phi}' = \frac{1}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}[\frac{B}{R^2\sin^2\theta} - \omega\gamma] \ , \tag{2.40}$$

where B is constant. Further, using the fact that $T^{AdS_5}_{\alpha\beta}$ vanishes separately we use the Virasoro constraints $T^{S_2}_{\tau\tau}=0$ in order to determine differential equation for θ

$$\begin{aligned}\theta'^2 &= -\frac{\sin^2\theta}{\gamma^2-\delta^2}[(\omega+\gamma\tilde{\phi}')^2-\delta^2\tilde{\phi}'^2] = \\ &= -\frac{1}{(\gamma^2+\delta^2)^2}[\frac{B^2}{R^4\sin^2\theta}-\omega^2\delta^2\sin^2\theta+\frac{4\gamma B\omega\delta^2}{R^2(\gamma^2-\delta^2)}]\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

using (2.40). On the other hand when we consider the second Virasoro constraint $T^{S_2}_{\tau\sigma}=0$ we obtain

$$T^{S_2}_{\tau\sigma}=\theta'^2\gamma\delta+\sin^2\theta(\omega\delta\tilde{\phi}'+\gamma\delta\tilde{\phi}'^2)=0 \tag{2.42}$$

that together with the constraint $T^{S_2}_{\tau\tau}=0$ implies

$$\tilde{\phi}'=-\frac{\omega\gamma}{\gamma^2+\delta^2} \tag{2.43}$$

and consequently

$$\phi=\frac{\omega\delta}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}(\delta\tau-\gamma\sigma) \ . \tag{2.44}$$

Further, when we compare (2.43) with (2.40) we obtain that $B=0$ and consequently (2.41) implies following differential equation

$$\theta'=\frac{\omega\delta}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}\sin\theta \tag{2.45}$$

that has the solution

$$\cos\theta=-\frac{\sinh(\frac{\omega\delta}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}(\gamma\tau+\delta\sigma))}{\cosh(\frac{\omega\delta}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}(\gamma\tau+\delta\sigma))} \ . \tag{2.46}$$

Let us now evaluate the S_2 part of the action for the ansatz (2.36)

$$\begin{aligned}S^{S_2} &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}\int d\sigma d\tau[\theta'^2(\gamma^2+\delta^2)+\sin^2\theta[(\omega+\gamma\tilde{\phi}')^2+\delta^2\tilde{\phi}'^2]] = \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}\int d\tau d\sigma\frac{\omega^2\delta^2}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}\sin^2\theta \ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

In order to evaluate the action (2.47) appropriately we have to impose the integration cut-off that arise from the analysis of the dynamics of AdS_5 string and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S^{S_2} &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}\frac{\omega^2\delta^2}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}\times \\ &\times\int_{\frac{1}{\alpha\ln l}}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}\ln L}d\tau\int_{-\frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha}}^{\frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha}}d\sigma\frac{1}{\cosh^2(\frac{\omega\delta}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}(\gamma\tau+\delta\sigma))}=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi\alpha}\ln\frac{L}{l} \ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

Let us calculate the charge related to the isometry along ϕ direction

$$\begin{aligned} J_\phi &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha}}^{\frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha}} d\sigma \sin^2\theta(\omega+\gamma\phi') = \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}\frac{\delta^2\omega}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}\int_{-\frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha}}^{\frac{\Gamma}{2\alpha}} d\sigma \frac{1}{\cosh^2(\frac{\omega\delta}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}(\gamma\tau+\delta\sigma))} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

and hence we obtain the result that

$$S^{S_2} = 2J_\phi \ln\frac{L}{l} \ . \tag{2.50}$$

Interestingly, due to the profile of the classical solution the action does not depend on the spatial cut-off Γ. It would be certainly interesting to find the dual CFT interpretation of such a configuration.

Now we proceed to the generalization of the second type of the euclidean world-sheet solution [14]. We start with the equations of motion for bosonic string with Minkowski metric

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha[\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta X^M] - \frac{1}{R^2}\left(\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha X^N\partial_\beta X_N\right)X^M = 0 \ , \\ \partial_\alpha[\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta Y^P] + \frac{1}{R^2}\left(\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha Y^Q\partial_\beta Y_Q\right)Y^P = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

where $\eta = \mathrm{diag}(-1,1)$. Let us then consider following ansatz [3]

$$\begin{aligned} X^0 &= R\cosh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\cos\tilde{\beta}\tau' \ , \quad X^1 = R\sinh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\cos\tilde{\beta}\tau' \ , \\ X^5 &= R\cosh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\sin\tilde{\beta}\tau' \ , \quad X^2 = R\sinh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\sin\tilde{\beta}\tau' \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

where τ' is now time-coordinate on Minkowski world-sheet. We again find that the equations of motion are satisfied for any $\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}$. As the next step we perform an analytic continuation $\tau' = -i\tau$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} X^0 = R\cosh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\cosh\tilde{\beta}\tau \ , \quad X^1 = R\sinh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\cosh\tilde{\beta}\tau \ , \\ X^5 = iR\cosh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\sinh\tilde{\beta}\tau \ , \quad X^2 = iR\sinh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\sinh\tilde{\beta}\tau' \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

If we now write $X^2 = iX'^5$,$X^5 = iX'^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X'^0 &= R\cosh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\cosh\tilde{\beta}\tau \ , \quad X'^1 = R\sinh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\cosh\tilde{\beta}\tau \ , \\ X'^2 &= R\cosh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\sinh\tilde{\beta}\tau \ , \quad X'^5 = R\sinh\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\sinh\tilde{\beta}\tau \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

---

[3] The solution (2.52) belongs to the class of homogeneous string solutions as the rigid circular string found in [41, 42].

Finally we perform rotation in $(0,5)$ and $(1,2)$ plane and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
X^0 &= \frac{X'^0 + X'^5}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\cosh(\tilde{\alpha}\sigma + \tilde{\beta}\tau) \ , \\
X^5 &= \frac{X'^0 - X'^5}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\cosh(\tilde{\alpha}\sigma - \tilde{\beta}\tau) \ , \\
X^1 &= \frac{X'^1 + X'^2}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\sinh(\tilde{\alpha}\sigma + \tilde{\beta}\tau) \ , \\
X^2 &= \frac{X'^1 - X'^2}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{R}{2}\sinh(\tilde{\alpha}\sigma - \tilde{\beta}\tau) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

Let us now check properties of the ansatz (2.55). Firstly, it is easy to see that Λ is equal to

$$\Lambda = (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2) \tag{2.56}$$

and hence the equations of motions are satisfied. On the other hand the Virasoro constraints $T^{AdS_5}_{\tau\tau} = -T^{AdS_5}_{\sigma\sigma} = 0$ is equal to

$$T^{AdS_5}_{\tau\tau} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4}(\tilde{\beta}^2 - \tilde{\alpha}^2) \tag{2.57}$$

and we see that AdS_5 part of the stress energy tensor does not vanish. Then let us again consider the motion on S^5 that is parameterized with the ansatz (2.14). In this case Virasoro constraints $T_{\tau\tau} = T^{AdS_5}_{\tau\tau} + T^{S_5}_{\tau\tau} = 0$ implies

$$\tilde{\beta}^2 - \tilde{\alpha}^2 + \gamma^2 - \delta^2 = 0 \ . \tag{2.58}$$

Consequently the action evaluated on the ansatz (2.14) and (2.55) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}[\tilde{\beta}^2 + \tilde{\alpha}^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2]\int d\tau d\sigma = \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi\tilde{\beta}^2}[\tilde{\beta}^2 + \gamma^2]\Gamma \ln\frac{L}{l} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.59}$$

where now we chose the integration cut-off $-\frac{\Gamma}{2\tilde{\beta}} < \sigma < \frac{\Gamma}{2\tilde{\beta}}$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}}\ln l < \tau < \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}}\ln L$. Then we introduce charges [9]

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\int d\sigma(X^5\partial_\tau X^0 - X^0\partial_\tau X^5) \ , \quad S_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\int d\sigma(X^1\partial_\tau X^2 - X^2\partial_\tau X^1) \tag{2.60}$$

that for (2.55) are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0 &= \tilde{\beta}\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}\int d\sigma \sinh 2\tilde{\alpha}\sigma = \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}}\cosh\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\Gamma \approx \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}}e^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\Gamma} \ , \quad S_1 = -S_0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.61}$$

In the same way we find that J_1, J_2 defined in (2.27) and (2.28) are equal to

$$J_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8\pi}\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\beta}}\Gamma \ , \quad J_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8\pi}\frac{\delta}{\tilde{\beta}}\Gamma \ . \tag{2.62}$$

Then we can write the action in an alternative form

$$S = \frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} S_0^2 e^{-2\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\Gamma}\Gamma \ln\frac{L}{l} + \frac{32\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\frac{J_1^2}{\Gamma}\ln\frac{L}{l} \ . \tag{2.63}$$

It is important to stress that now $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are not arbitrary but are determined by charges J_1, J_2 through the relation (2.58) . In particular, the condition $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\beta} = 1$ can be imposed in case when $\gamma^2 - \delta^2 = 0$ (equivalently when $J_1^2 = J_2^2$). We will discuss consequence of this result below.

Let us again consider magnon-like solution where now we have to take into account nonzero contribution from AdS_5 part of the Virasoro constraint that we denote as $T_{AdS_5} \equiv \frac{1}{4\alpha'}\kappa^2$. Then the vanishing of the total $T_{\tau\tau} = T_{\tau\tau}^{AdS_5} + T_{\tau\tau}^{S^2} = 0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta'^2 &= -\frac{\kappa^2}{R^2(\gamma^2-\delta^2)} - \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\gamma^2-\delta^2}[(\omega+\gamma\tilde{\phi}')^2 - \delta^2\tilde{\phi}'^2] = \\
&= -\frac{1}{(\gamma^2+\delta^2)^2}[\frac{B^2}{R^4\sin^2\theta} - \omega^2\delta^2\sin^2\theta + \frac{\kappa^2}{R^2}(\gamma^2-\delta^2)] \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.64}$$

On the other hand the second Virasoro constraint $T_{\tau\sigma} = 0$ implies

$$T_{\tau\sigma} = \theta'^2\gamma\delta + \sin^2\theta(\omega\delta\tilde{\phi}' + \gamma\delta\tilde{\phi}'^2) = 0 \tag{2.65}$$

that together with the constraint $T_{\tau\tau} = 0$ gives

$$\kappa^2\gamma + B\omega = 0 \tag{2.66}$$

using also the fact that the equation of motion for ϕ takes the form

$$\tilde{\phi}' = \frac{1}{\gamma^2+\delta^2}[\frac{B}{R^2\sin^2\theta} - \omega\gamma] \ . \tag{2.67}$$

Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta'^2 &= \frac{\omega^2\delta^2}{(\gamma^2+\delta^2)^2\sin^2\theta}[(\sin^2\theta - M)(\sin^2\theta - N)] \ , \\
M &= -\frac{\kappa^2}{\omega^2R^2} \ , \quad N = \frac{\kappa^2\gamma^2}{\delta^2R^2\omega^2} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.68}$$

We see that in order to have real solution we should perform an analytic continuation

$$\omega = i\tilde{\omega} \ , \gamma = i\tilde{\gamma} \ . \tag{2.69}$$

Then we finally obtain

$$\theta' = \frac{\tilde{\omega}\delta}{(\delta^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2)\sin\theta}\sqrt{(\sin^2\theta - \sin^2\theta_1)(\sin^2\theta_2 - \sin^2\theta)} \ , \tag{2.70}$$

where

$$\sin^2\theta_1 = \frac{\kappa^2}{\tilde{\omega}^2 R^2} \ , \quad \sin^2\theta_2 = \frac{\kappa^2\tilde{\gamma}^2}{R^2\delta^2\tilde{\omega}^2} \ . \tag{2.71}$$

Following [47] we can now distinguish two limiting configurations: either giant magnon solution where $\sin^2\theta_1 = 1$ or spike solution where $\sin^2\theta_2 = 1$. Let us firstly consider giant magnon solution. The action evaluated on this solution takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}\int d\tau d\sigma[(\delta^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2)\theta'^2 + \sin^2\theta\frac{\tilde{\omega}^2\delta^2}{\delta^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2} + \frac{B^2}{(\delta^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2)R^4\sin^2\theta}] = \\ &= \frac{\tilde{\omega}^2\delta^2\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi(\delta^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2)}\int d\tau d\sigma\frac{\sin^2\theta(1 + \sin^2\theta_2)}{\sin^2\theta} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}\frac{\tilde{\omega}^2(\delta^2 + \tilde{\gamma}^2)}{(\delta^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2)}\frac{\Gamma}{\tilde{\beta}}\ln\frac{L}{l} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.72}$$

Interestingly, for spike solution ($\sin^2\theta_2 = \frac{\kappa^2\tilde{\gamma}^2}{R^2\delta^2\tilde{\omega}^2} = 1$) we find

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}\frac{\tilde{\omega}^2\delta^2(\delta^2 + \tilde{\gamma}^2)}{\tilde{\gamma}^2(\delta^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2)}\frac{\Gamma}{\tilde{\beta}}\ln\frac{L}{l} \ , \tag{2.73}$$

where now $\tilde{\omega}$ is related to $\kappa^2 = R^2(\tilde{\beta}^2 - \tilde{\alpha}^2)$ through the relation (2.66).

It is important to stress that for $\alpha = \beta = 1$ the ansatz (2.13) is related to the ansatz (2.55) where $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\beta} = 1$. In other words, the scaling limit of the spinning closed string solution is equivalent, upon an analytic continuation to the euclidean world-sheet combined with a discrete $SO(2,4)$ rotation in AdS_5, to the global AdS_5 version of the null cusp solution given in (2.13) (For $\alpha = \beta = \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\beta} = 1$). However as was shown above in case when we include non-trivial dynamics on S^5 this is not generally true since now $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ are functions of the conserved charges related to the dynamics on S^5 while in case of the null cusp solution (2.13) the dynamics on AdS_5 decouples from the dynamics on S^5 at least on the classical level.

3. Conclusion

In this section we give short summary of results derived in this paper. Our goal was to study some solutions of *open string* with euclidean world-sheet that propagates in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. We started with the review of solution presented in [32] and we found that this solution is valid for any real parameters α, β. We also shown that Virasoro constraints for this solution vanish. This has an important consequence when we included non-trivial dynamics on S^5 that now naively decouples from the dynamics on AdS_5.

Then we also considered second form of the solution that was presented in [14]. We shown that this solution has non-zero contribution from the AdS_5 part and hence the motion on S^5 does not decouple from the motion on AdS_5. We calculated the values of world-sheet actions on these solutions.

To conclude we mean that the dynamics of euclidean string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ has many interesting properties that deserves further study. It would be certainly very interesting to clarify the relation of the solutions found there to scattering phenomena in dual QFT living on the boundary of AdS_5.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Czech Ministry of Education under Contract No. MSM 0021622409.

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, *"The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,"* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].

[2] E. Witten, *"Anti-de Sitter space and holography,"* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].

[3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *"Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory,"* Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 105 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109].

[4] H. Nastase, *"Introduction to AdS-CFT,"* arXiv:0712.0689 [hep-th].

[5] I. R. Klebanov, *"TASI lectures: Introduction to the AdS/CFT correspondence,"* arXiv:hep-th/0009139.

[6] E. D'Hoker and D. Z. Freedman, *"Supersymmetric gauge theories and the AdS/CFT correspondence,"* arXiv:hep-th/0201253.

[7] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *"A semi-classical limit of the gauge/string correspondence,"* Nucl. Phys. B **636** (2002) 99 [arXiv:hep-th/0204051].

[8] A. A. Tseytlin, *"Semiclassical strings and AdS/CFT,"* arXiv:hep-th/0409296.

[9] A. A. Tseytlin, *"Spinning strings and AdS/CFT duality,"* arXiv:hep-th/0311139.

[10] S. J. Rey and J. T. Yee, *"Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity,"* Eur. Phys. J. C **22** (2001) 379 [arXiv:hep-th/9803001].

[11] J. M. Maldacena, *"Wilson loops in large N field theories,"* Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 4859 [arXiv:hep-th/9803002].

[12] N. Drukker, D. J. Gross and H. Ooguri, *"Wilson loops and minimal surfaces,"* Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 125006 [arXiv:hep-th/9904191].

[13] L. F. Alday and J. M. Maldacena, *"Gluon scattering amplitudes at strong coupling,"* JHEP **0706** (2007) 064 [arXiv:0705.0303 [hep-th]].

[14] R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Spinning superstrings at two loops: strong-coupling corrections to dimensions of large-twist SYM operators,"* arXiv:0712.2479 [hep-th].

[15] J. M. Drummond, J. Henn, G. P. Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, *"The hexagon Wilson loop and the BDS ansatz for the six-gluon amplitude,"* arXiv:0712.4138 [hep-th].

[16] H. Itoyama and A. Morozov, *"Boundary Ring or a Way to Construct Approximate NG Solutions with Polygon Boundary Conditions. II. Polygons which admit an inscribed circle,"* arXiv:0712.2316 [hep-th].

[17] J. M. Drummond, J. Henn, G. P. Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, *"Conformal Ward identities for Wilson loops and a test of the duality with gluon amplitudes,"* arXiv:0712.1223 [hep-th].

[18] A. Jevicki, K. Jin, C. Kalousios and A. Volovich, *"Generating AdS String Solutions,"* arXiv:0712.1193 [hep-th].

[19] H. Itoyama, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *"Boundary Ring: a way to construct approximate NG solutions with polygon boundary conditions: I. Z_n-symmetric configurations,"* arXiv:0712.0159 [hep-th].

[20] G. Yang, *"Comment on the Alday-Maldacena solution in calculating scattering amplitude via AdS/CFT,"* arXiv:0711.2828 [hep-th].

[21] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and T. Tomaras, *"Some properties of the Alday-Maldacena minimum,"* Phys. Lett. B **659** (2008) 723 [arXiv:0711.0192 [hep-th]].

[22] R. Ricci, A. A. Tseytlin and M. Wolf, *"On T-Duality and Integrability for Strings on AdS Backgrounds,"* JHEP **0712** (2007) 082 [arXiv:0711.0707 [hep-th]].

[23] A. Popolitov, *"On coincidence of Alday-Maldacena-regularized σ-model and Nambu-Goto areas of minimal surfaces,"* arXiv:0710.2073 [hep-th].

[24] D. Astefanesei, S. Dobashi, K. Ito and H. S. Nastase, *"Comments on gluon 6-point scattering amplitudes in N=4 SYM at strong coupling,"* JHEP **0712** (2007) 077 [arXiv:0710.1684 [hep-th]].

[25] S. Ryang, *"Conformal SO(2,4) Transformations of the One-Cusp Wilson Loop Surface,"* arXiv:0710.1673 [hep-th].

[26] L. F. Alday and J. Maldacena, *"Comments on gluon scattering amplitudes via AdS/CFT,"* JHEP **0711** (2007) 068 [arXiv:0710.1060 [hep-th]].

[27] J. McGreevy and A. Sever, *"Quark scattering amplitudes at strong coupling,"* arXiv:0710.0393 [hep-th].

[28] J. M. Drummond, J. Henn, G. P. Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, *"On planar gluon amplitudes/Wilson loops duality,"* arXiv:0709.2368 [hep-th].

[29] R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Strong-coupling expansion of cusp anomaly from quantum superstring,"* JHEP **0711** (2007) 016 [arXiv:0709.0681 [hep-th]].

[30] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and T. N. Tomaras, *"On n-point Amplitudes in N=4 SYM,"* JHEP **0711** (2007) 021 [arXiv:0708.1625 [hep-th]].

[31] L. F. Alday and J. M. Maldacena, *"Comments on operators with large spin,"* JHEP **0711** (2007) 019 [arXiv:0708.0672 [hep-th]].

[32] M. Kruczenski, R. Roiban, A. Tirziu and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Strong-coupling expansion of cusp anomaly and gluon amplitudes from quantum open strings in AdS_5xS^5,"* Nucl. Phys. B **791** (2008) 93 [arXiv:0707.4254 [hep-th]].

[33] A. Brandhuber, P. Heslop and G. Travaglini, *"MHV Amplitudes in N=4 Super Yang-Mills and Wilson Loops,"* arXiv:0707.1153 [hep-th].

[34] J. M. Drummond, G. P. Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, *"Conformal properties of four-gluon planar amplitudes and Wilson loops,"* arXiv:0707.0243 [hep-th].

[35] E. I. Buchbinder, *"Infrared Limit of Gluon Amplitudes at Strong Coupling,"* Phys. Lett. B **654** (2007) 46 [arXiv:0706.2015 [hep-th]].

[36] S. Abel, S. Forste and V. V. Khoze, *"Scattering amplitudes in strongly coupled N=4 SYM from semiclassical strings in AdS,"* arXiv:0705.2113 [hep-th].

[37] A. Bassetto, I. A. Korchemskaya, G. P. Korchemsky and G. Nardelli, *"Gauge invariance and anomalous dimensions of a light cone Wilson loop in lightlike axial gauge,"* Nucl. Phys. B **408** (1993) 62 [arXiv:hep-ph/9303314].

[38] G. P. Korchemsky and G. Marchesini, *"Structure function for large x and renormalization of Wilson loop,"* Nucl. Phys. B **406** (1993) 225 [arXiv:hep-ph/9210281].

[39] M. Kruczenski, *"A note on twist two operators in* $N = 4$ *SYM and Wilson loops in Minkowski signature,"* JHEP **0212**, 024 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0210115].

[40] D. M. Hofman and J. M. Maldacena, *"Giant magnons,"* J. Phys. A **39** (2006) 13095 [arXiv:hep-th/0604135].

[41] S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Multi-spin string solutions in AdS(5) x S**5,"* Nucl. Phys. B **668** (2003) 77 [arXiv:hep-th/0304255].

[42] G. Arutyunov, J. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Spinning strings in AdS(5) x S**5: New integrable system relations,"* Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 086009 [arXiv:hep-th/0311004].

[43] M. Gutperle and A. Strominger, *"Spacelike branes,"* JHEP **0204** (2002) 018 [arXiv:hep-th/0202210].

[44] N. D. Lambert, H. Liu and J. M. Maldacena, *"Closed strings from decaying D-branes,"* JHEP **0703** (2007) 014 [arXiv:hep-th/0303139].

[45] K. Hashimoto, P. M. Ho, S. Nagaoka and J. E. Wang, *"Time evolution via S-branes,"* Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 026007 [arXiv:hep-th/0303172].

[46] M. Kruczenski, J. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Spiky strings and giant magnons on S**5,"* JHEP **0610** (2006) 002 [arXiv:hep-th/0607044].

[47] N. P. Bobev and R. C. Rashkov, *"Spiky Strings, Giant Magnons and beta-deformations,"* Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 046008 [arXiv:0706.0442 [hep-th]].